IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 4558 OF 2005 .

The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Solapur & ors. ... Petitioners.
V/s.
Vijaykumar A. Chothave ... Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4566 OF 2005.

The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Solapur & ors. ... Petitioners.
vs.
Vishwas Sakharam Kolekar ... Respondent.

Shri Nitin Jamdar for the petitioner.

Shri A.K.Suryavanshi for the respondent.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED : 20.3.2006.

P.C. :

. Rule returnable forthwith. By consent of parties petitions are heard finally.

. Both the parties agree that in the impugned order no reasons are to be found in support of the order. Both the parties agree that without examining merits or demerits of the order, the impugned order be set aside and matters be remitted back to the Industrial Court for re-adjudication of the revision on merits with reasoned order. The Industrial Court is directed to dispose of the revision application by a detailed reasoned order

dealing with all contentions raised by the petitioners. The Industrial Court should bear in mind that the orders of the Industrial Court are subject to writ jurisdiction of this Court. Reasoned order helps this Court to read the mind of adjudicating authority. Therefore, it is always necessary for the Industrial Court to record good reasons in support of its order. Since the order does not contain reasons, the impugned order is set aside. Matters are remitted back to the Industrial Court for decision afresh as expeditiously as possible at any rate, within 3 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. All rival contentions are kept open.

. Both the petitions accordingly stand disposed of in terms of this order. Rule in each petition is made absolute with no order as to costs.

(V.C.DAGA,J.)